



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/535,573 | 03/27/2000 | Robert A. Foster | M-4540-1C us | 3655 |
| 24251 | 7590 | 10/01/2003 | EXAMINER | |
| SKJERVEN MORRILL LLP 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700 SAN JOSE, CA 95110 | | | NGUYEN, CUONG H | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3625 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/535,573

Applicant(s)

Robert A. Foster

Examiner

Cuong H. Nguyen

Group Art Unit

3625



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cuong H. Nguyen

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Edward C. Kwok (Reg. # 33,938)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 30, 2003Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:USPTO's Guideline for examination procedures for computer-related inventions published on 2/27/1996.Claim(s) discussed: 47 and 68

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/AAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner reminds problems on 35 USC 101, and 35 USC 112, 2nd para. for independent claim 47; 35 USC 112, 6th para. and 2nd para. for claim 68 are also discussed. The applicant will submit amendment to eliminate above problems.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Cuong H. Nguyen
CUONG H. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3625